A Northeast-Midwest Institute Note to the Coalitions:

FEDERAL PARTNERSHIP FOR SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES: BACKGROUND, FUNDING HISTORY, AND NEMW GRANTEES



As Congress moves forward with FY2012 funding decisions, one of its priorities is to achieve economic growth and stability while reducing the nation's deficit. One set of relatively new housing, transportation, and environment programs is currently under debate: those programs coordinated by the "Partnership for Sustainable Communities."

This report provides background information on the history of—and grants awarded through the Partnership for Sustainable Communities, allowing NEMW Coalition members to make informed decisions in ongoing debates. The report describes Partnership for Sustainable Communities grants, offers details on 2010 grantees in the Northeast-Midwest, and calculates total funding in the region as a percentage of national grant funding.

Background

In June 2009, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) joined together to form the "Partnership for Sustainable Communities." The Partnership's goal is to fashion joint initiatives to improve access to affordable housing, provide more transportation options, and lower transportation costs while protecting the environment and enhancing economic competitiveness in communities around the country. ¹

Funding for the Partnership varies according to agency:

- HUD created an Office of Sustainable Housing and Communities in 2009, which was funded through an appropriations line-item under the Community Development Fund ("Sustainable Communities Initiative"). Its FY2010 budget outlay was 150 million. This was also President Obama's funding request for FY2011 and FY2012. The FY2011 budget authority is 100 million (not including 2% across-the-board cut). Most recently, on September 7, 2011, the House THUD Subcommittee voted to recommend elimination of funding for any new "sustainable," "livable," or "green" community development programs. It also voted to recommend the specific prohibition of funds for the Sustainable Communities Initiative.
- Unlike HUD, DOT does not have dedicated funding for Partnership programs. Some of its programs were funded through the 2009 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. Other funding comes from annual appropriations. In FY2010 DOT awarded nearly \$2.4 billion in grants that support Partnership goals.
- Similar to DOT, EPA funds its programs through its new Office of Sustainable Communities (formerly "Smart Growth Division") via annual appropriations. It is difficult to estimate the total

¹ Description of Partnership adapted from: <u>http://www.sustainablecommunities.gov/pdf/FactSheet.pdf</u> and <u>http://www.epa.gov/smartgrowth/partnership/</u>

amount EPA spent on Partnership programs in FY2010 because its awards are often a blend of contracted direct assistance and grants.

In total, the Partnership coordinates around ten programs across agencies; although at least three of these programs predate the creation of the Partnership. Under the "Sustainable Communities Initiative" heading, these programs provide grants or assistance to various public and private entities and are targeted at a range of specific Partnership goals. The table below details 2010 amounts awarded through the Initiative for the region and the nation.

| Program | NEMW Amount Awarded 2010 | Total Amount Awarded 2010 |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| HUD Regional Planning | \$41,928,550 | \$98,000,000 |
| HUD Community Challenge | \$18,756,430 | \$47,953,029 |
| DOT TIGER I* | \$638,125,667 | \$1,498,126,016 |
| DOT TIGER II Planning | \$13,135,559 | \$27,555,397 |
| DOT TIGER II Capital | \$193,584,085 | \$556,577,591 |
| DOT Urban Circulator/Bus & Bus Livability* | \$137,992,877 | \$292,195,618 |
| EPA Smart Growth Implementation Assistance* | N/A | N/A |
| EPA Greening America's Capitals | N/A | N/A |
| EPA Brownfields Pilot Communities | N/A | N/A |
| EPA Brownfields Area Wide Planning Pilot | \$1,400,000 | \$4,025,000 |

| Partnership Programs and Funding | g Amounts for 2010 Awards in Region and Nation ² |
|------------------------------------|---|
| i ai theising i rograms and i anam | S Amounts for 2010 Awaras in Region and Nation |

* Predates Partnership for Sustainable Communities

² A map of all these grants is available at: <u>http://www.sustainablecommunities.gov/community.html</u>

Partnership for Sustainable Communities Initiative Grants and Grantees

This section provides details on specific Sustainable Communities Initiative grant programs and their presence in the Northeast-Midwest region in 2010.

HUD Regional Planning Grants

The Sustainable Communities Regional Planning Grant Program supports metropolitan and multijurisdictional planning efforts that integrate housing, land use, economic and workforce development, transportation, and infrastructure investments so that jurisdictions can simultaneously consider: (1) economic competitiveness and revitalization; (2) social equity, inclusion, and access to opportunity; (3) energy use and climate change; and (4) public health and environmental impact.³ Summaries of each award can be accessed through HUD's website <u>here</u>.

Northeast-Midwest Region Regional Planning Grantees

| Grantee Consortium Leader | City | State | Amount |
|---|-----------------|-------|--------------|
| Capitol Region Council of Governments | Hartford | СТ | \$4,200,000 |
| Windham Region Council of Governments | Willimantic | СТ | \$225,000 |
| Des Moines Area Metropolitan Planning Organization | Urbandale | IA | \$2,200,000 |
| Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning | Chicago | IL | \$4,250,000 |
| Tri-County Regional Planning Commission | Peoria | IL | \$1,200,000 |
| Rockford Metropolitan Agency for Planning | Rockford | IL | \$600,000 |
| Evansville Metropolitan Planning Organization | Evansville | IN | \$1,420,300 |
| Metropolitan Area Planning Council | Boston | MA | \$4,000,000 |
| Franklin Regional Council of Governments | Greenfield | MA | \$425,000 |
| Berkshire Regional Planning Commission | Pittsfield | MA | \$590,700 |
| Northern Maine Development Commission | Caribou | ME | \$800,000 |
| Greater Portland Council of Governments | Portland | ME | \$1,600,000 |
| Southeast Michigan Council of Governments | Detroit | MI | \$2,850,000 |
| Metropolitan Council | St. Paul | MN | \$5,000,000 |
| Region Five Development Commission | Staples | MN | \$825,050 |
| Regional Plan Association Inc. | Multiple Cities | CT/NY | \$3,500,000 |
| Northeast Ohio Areawide Coordinating Agency | Cleveland | OH | \$4,250,000 |
| Chittenden County Regional Planning Commission | Winooski | VT | \$995,000 |
| Northwoods NiiJii Enterprise Community, Inc. | Lac du Flambeau | WI | \$525,000 |
| Capital Area Regional Planning Commission | Madison | WI | \$1,997,500 |
| Southwestern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission | Platteville | WI | \$475,000 |
| NEMW Total | | | \$41,928,550 |
| National Total | | | \$98,000,000 |
| NEMW % of National Total | | | 43% |

³ Description taken from:

http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program offices/sustainable housing communities/sustainable com munities regional planning grants

HUD Community Challenge Grant

The Community Challenge Planning Grant Program aims to foster reform and reduce barriers to achieving affordable, economically vital, and sustainable communities. Such efforts may include amending or replacing local master plans, zoning codes, and building codes to promote mixed-use development, affordable housing, the reuse of older buildings and structures for new purposes, and similar activities with the goal of promoting sustainability at the local or neighborhood level. This program also supports affordable housing through inclusionary zoning ordinances and other activities to support planning implementation.⁴ Summaries of each award can be accessed through HUD's website here.

| Applicant | City | State | Grant Amount |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------|--------------|
| Connecticut Dept. of Economic and | | | |
| Community Development | Hartford | СТ | \$2,000,000 |
| South Suburban Mayors and Managers | | | |
| Association | East Hazel Crest | IL | \$2,351,848 |
| City of Indianapolis | Indianapolis | IN | \$1,197,622 |
| City of Somerville, Massachusetts | Somerville | MA | \$1,800,000 |
| Maryland-National Park and Planning | Upper | | |
| Commission | Marlboro | MD | \$800,000 |
| City of Flint | Flint | MI | \$1,570,233 |
| Grand Traverse County | Traverse City | MI | \$395,000 |
| City of Claremont | Claremont | NH | \$58,740 |
| Upper Valley Lake Sunapee Regional | | | |
| Planning Commission | Lebanon | NH | \$147,878 |
| Jersey City Redevelopment Agency * | Jersey City | NJ | \$2,273,370 |
| City of Glens Falls, New York | Glens Falls | NY | \$200,000 |
| City of Cincinnati | Cincinnati | ОН | \$2,400,000 |
| Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission | Columbus | ОН | \$864,989 |
| City of Pittsburgh * | Pittsburgh | PA | \$1,500,000 |
| City of Providence | Providence | RI | \$910,000 |
| City of Burlington Vermont | Burlington | VT | \$286,750 |
| NEMW Total | | | \$18,756,430 |
| National Total | | | \$47,953,029 |
| NEMW % of National Total | | | 39% |

*Joint HUD-DOT Project, HUD funding listed here

DOT Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery Grant: TIGER I

The TIGER Discretionary Grant Program aims to spur a national competition for innovative road, rail, transit and port projects that promise significant economic and environmental benefits to an entire metropolitan area, a region, or the nation. Each project is multi-modal, multi-jurisdictional or otherwise challenging to fund through existing programs.⁵ TIGER I was funded through the American Recovery and

⁴ Description adpated from: <u>http://www.sustainablecommunities.gov/grants.html</u>

⁵ Description taken from: <u>http://www.dot.gov/recovery/tiger100218.html</u> and

5

Reinvestment Act of 2009; grants were awarded in early 2010. Summaries of each award can be accessed through DOT's website <u>here</u>.

Northeast-Midwest Region TIGER I Grantees

*Estimated NEMW states' portion of multi-state grant

DOT Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery Grant: TIGER II Planning and Capital Grants

In addition to capital projects, TIGER II featured a new grant category for the planning, preparation, and/or design of eligible surface transportation projects. TIGER II was especially focused on projects expected to create and preserve jobs and stimulate increases in economic activity, as well as improve the condition of existing transportation facilities and systems, increase energy efficiency and reduce greenhouse gas emissions, improve the safety of U.S. transportation facilities, and/or increase transportation choices and connections. Grants were funded through FY2010 Appropriations and awarded in late 2010.⁶ Summaries of each award can be accessed through DOT's website. Planning grants are detailed here; Capital grants are detailed here.

http://www.dot.gov/tiger/index.html

⁶ Description adapted from: <u>http://www.dot.gov/affairs/2010/dot18810.html</u> and <u>http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/press/press_releases_media_advisories/2010/HUDNo.10-131</u>

| DE | |
|----|--|
| | \$2,250,000 |
| IL | \$2,800,000 |
| IN | \$800,000 |
| ME | \$770 <i>,</i> 988 |
| MI | \$300,000 |
| MN | \$250,000 |
| NJ | \$1,964,400 |
| NY | \$1,510,171 |
| NY | \$590,000 |
| PA | \$825,000 |
| VT | \$125,000 |
| WI | \$950,000 |
| | \$13,135,559 |
| | \$27,555,397 |
| | 48% |
| | IN ME MI MN NJ NY NY PA VT |

Northeast-Midwest Region TIGER II Planning Grantees

*Joint HUD-DOT Project, DOT funding listed here

Northeast-Midwest Region TIGER II Capital Grantees

| Project | State | Grant Amount |
|--|--------|---------------|
| New Haven Downtown Crossing | СТ | \$16,000,000 |
| Steel Point Roadway Improvements (Bridgeport) | СТ | \$11,159,493 |
| Des Moines Multimodal Hub | IA | \$10,000,000 |
| Moline Multimodal Station | IL | \$10,000,000 |
| Warehouse District Complete Streets Project (Peoria) | IL | \$10,000,000 |
| Waterloo Station Improvements | IN | \$1,820,100 |
| Aroostook Rail Preservation | ME | \$10,546,436 |
| Ann Arbor Bridges | MI | \$13,900,000 |
| Staples North/South Corridor | MN | \$7,650,000 |
| Memorial Bridge Replacement (Portsmouth, NH & Kittery, ME) | NH, ME | \$20,000,000 |
| Meadowlands Adaptive Signal System | NJ | \$10,008,056 |
| Niagara Falls Rail Station | NY | \$16,500,000 |
| Fordham Transit Plaza (Bronx) | NY | \$10,000,000 |
| University - Cedar Rapid Transit Station Improvements | ОН | \$10,500,000 |
| Dilworth Plaza and Concourse Improvements (Philadelphia) | PA | \$15,000,000 |
| Central Pennsylvania Rail and Road Expansion | PA | \$10,000,000 |
| Port of Providence: Electric Cranes | RI | \$10,500,000 |
| NEMW Total | | \$193,584,085 |
| National Total | | \$556,577,591 |
| NEMW % of National Total | | 35% |

DOT Funds for Urban Circulator/ Bus & Bus Livability Projects

The Bus Livability Program makes funds available to public transportation providers to finance capital projects to replace, rehabilitate, and purchase buses and related equipment and to construct busrelated facilities.⁷ The Urban Circulator program funds a limited number of projects (such as streetcars and rubber-tire trolley lines) that provide transportation options to connect urban destinations and fosters the redevelopment of urban spaces into walkable mixed-use, high-density environments.⁸ Summaries of each award, announced in mid-2010, can be accessed through DOT's website <u>here</u>.

| Greater Hartford Transit DistrictCTCity of StamfordCTChicago Department of TransportationILChicago Transit AuthorityILDes Moines Area Regional Transit Authority (DART)IAMaryland Transit Authority (MTA), on behalf of Prince George's CountyMDMaryland Transit Authority (MTA) and the City of BaltimoreMDMaryland Transit Authority (MTA)MDMaryland Transit Authority (MTA)MDMaryland Transit Authority (MTA)MDMaryland Transit Authority (MTA)MAPioneer Valley Transportation Authority (MBTA)MAPioneer Valley Transit Authority (PVTA)MAMetropolitan CouncilMNManchester Transit AuthorityNHNew York City Department of Transportation (NYCDOT)NYCentral New York Regional Transportation AuthorityOHStark Area Regional Transit Authority (SARTA)OHSoutheast Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA)PAPennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)PARhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA)RICity of RacineWINEMW TotalNI | Grant Amount | State | |
|--|---------------|-------|----------------------------------|
| Chicago Department of TransportationILChicago Transit AuthorityILDes Moines Area Regional Transit Authority (DART)IAMaryland Transit Authority (MTA), on behalf of Prince George's CountyMDMaryland Transit Administration (MTA) and the City of BaltimoreMDMaryland Transit Authority (MTA)MDMaryland Transit Authority (MTA)MDMaryland Transit Authority (MTA)MDMassachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (MBTA)MAPioneer Valley Transit Authority (PVTA)MAMetropolitan CouncilMNManchester Transit AuthorityNHNew York City Department of Transportation Authority (CENTRO)NYCity of CincinnatiOHSoutheast Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA)PAPennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)PARhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA)RICity of RacineWINEMW TotalVI | \$4,940,000 | СТ | District |
| Chicago Transit AuthorityILDes Moines Area Regional Transit Authority (DART)IAMaryland Transit Authority (MTA), on behalf of Prince George's CountyMDMaryland Transit Administration (MTA) and the City of BaltimoreMDMaryland Transit Administration (MTA) and the City of BaltimoreMDMaryland Transit Authority (MTA)MDMassachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (MBTA)MAPioneer Valley Transit Authority (PVTA)MAMetropolitan CouncilMNManchester Transit AuthorityNHNew York City Department of Transportation Authority (CENTRO)NYCentral New York Regional Transportation Authority (CENTRO)NYCity of CincinnatiOHSoutheast Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA)PAPennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)PARhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA)RICity of RacineWINEMW TotalNEMW Total | \$16,000,000 | СТ | |
| Des Moines Area Regional Transit Authority (DART)IAMaryland Transit Authority (MTA), on behalf of Prince George's CountyMDMaryland Transit Administration (MTA) and the City of BaltimoreMDMaryland Transit Administration (MTA) and the City of BaltimoreMDMaryland Transit Authority (MTA)MDMassachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (MBTA)MAPioneer Valley Transit Authority (PVTA)MAMetropolitan CouncilMNManchester Transit AuthorityNHNew York City Department of Transportation (NYCDOT)NYCentral New York Regional Transportation Authority (CENTRO)OHStark Area Regional Transit Authority (SARTA)OHSoutheast Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA)PAPennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)PARhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA)RICity of RacineWINEMW TotalVI | \$24,650,000 | IL | ransportation |
| Maryland Transit Authority (MTA), on behalf of Prince George's CountyMDMaryland Transit Administration (MTA) and the City of BaltimoreMDMaryland Transit Administration (MTA) and the City of BaltimoreMDMaryland Transit Authority (MTA)MDMassachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (MBTA)MAPioneer Valley Transit Authority (PVTA)MAMetropolitan CouncilMNManchester Transit Authority (PVTA)NHNew York City Department of Transportation (NYCDOT)NYCentral New York Regional Transportation Authority (CENTRO)OHStark Area Regional Transit Authority (SARTA)OHSoutheast Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA)PAPennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)PARhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA)RICity of RacineWINEMW TotalVI | \$11,000,000 | IL | / |
| George's CountyMDMaryland Transit Administration (MTA) and the City of BaltimoreMDMaryland Transit Authority (MTA)MDMassachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (MBTA)MAPioneer Valley Transit Authority (PVTA)MAMetropolitan CouncilMNManchester Transit AuthorityNHNew York City Department of Transportation (NYCDOT)NYCentral New York Regional Transportation Authority (CENTRO)NYCity of CincinnatiOHSoutheast Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA)PAPennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)PARhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA)RICity of RacineWI | \$6,500,000 | IA | al Transit Authority (DART) |
| BaltimoreMDMaryland Transit Authority (MTA)MDMassachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (MBTA)MAPioneer Valley Transit Authority (PVTA)MAMetropolitan CouncilMNManchester Transit AuthorityNHNew York City Department of Transportation (NYCDOT)NYCentral New York Regional Transportation Authority (CENTRO)OHStark Area Regional Transit Authority (SARTA)OHSoutheast Pennsylvania Transportation (PennDOT)PAPennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)PARhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA)RICity of RacineWI | \$4,126,227 | MD | ity (MTA), on behalf of Prince |
| Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (MBTA)MAPioneer Valley Transit Authority (PVTA)MAMetropolitan CouncilMNManchester Transit AuthorityNHNew York City Department of Transportation (NYCDOT)NYCentral New York Regional Transportation Authority (CENTRO)NYCity of CincinnatiOHStark Area Regional Transportation Authority (SEPTA)PAPennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)PARhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA)RICity of RacineWI | \$516,000 | MD | stration (MTA) and the City of |
| Pioneer Valley Transit Authority (PVTA)MAMetropolitan CouncilMNManchester Transit AuthorityNHNew York City Department of Transportation (NYCDOT)NYCentral New York Regional Transportation Authority (CENTRO)NYCity of CincinnatiOHStark Area Regional Transportation Authority (SARTA)OHSoutheast Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA)PAPennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)PARhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA)RICity of RacineWI | \$260,000 | MD | ity (MTA) |
| Metropolitan CouncilMNManchester Transit AuthorityNHNew York City Department of Transportation (NYCDOT)NYCentral New York Regional Transportation Authority (CENTRO)NYCity of CincinnatiOHStark Area Regional Transit Authority (SARTA)OHSoutheast Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA)PAPennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)PARhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA)RICity of RacineWI | \$3,003,051 | MA | portation Authority (MBTA) |
| Manchester Transit AuthorityNHNew York City Department of Transportation (NYCDOT)NYCentral New York Regional Transportation Authority (CENTRO)NYCity of CincinnatiOHStark Area Regional Transit Authority (SARTA)OHSoutheast Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA)PAPennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)PARhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA)RICity of RacineWI | \$745,689 | MA | ithority (PVTA) |
| New York City Department of Transportation (NYCDOT)NYCentral New York Regional Transportation Authority (CENTRO)NYCity of CincinnatiOHStark Area Regional Transit Authority (SARTA)OHSoutheast Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA)PAPennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)PARhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA)RICity of RacineWI | \$1,248,000 | MN | |
| Central New York Regional Transportation Authority (CENTRO)NYCity of CincinnatiOHStark Area Regional Transit Authority (SARTA)OHSoutheast Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA)PAPennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)PARhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA)RICity of RacineWI | \$300,000 | NH | ority |
| (CENTRO)NYCity of CincinnatiOHStark Area Regional Transit Authority (SARTA)OHSoutheast Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA)PAPennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)PARhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA)RICity of RacineWINEMW Total | \$18,379,510 | NY | nt of Transportation (NYCDOT) |
| City of CincinnatiOHStark Area Regional Transit Authority (SARTA)OHSoutheast Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA)PAPennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)PARhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA)RICity of RacineWINEMW Total | \$8,500,000 | NY | al Transportation Authority |
| Stark Area Regional Transit Authority (SARTA)OHSoutheast Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA)PAPennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)PARhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA)RICity of RacineWINEMW Total | \$24,990,000 | ОН | |
| Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)PARhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA)RICity of RacineWINEMW Total | \$2,774,400 | ОН | sit Authority (SARTA) |
| Rhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA)RICity of RacineWINEMW Total | \$3,980,000 | PA | Fransportation Authority (SEPTA) |
| City of Racine WI NEMW Total | \$5,000,000 | PA | t of Transportation (PennDOT) |
| NEMW Total | \$700,000 | RI | sit Authority (RIPTA) |
| | \$380,000 | WI | |
| National Total | \$137,992,877 | | |
| | \$292,195,618 | | |
| NEMW % of National Total | 47% | | tal |

Northeast-Midwest Region Urban Circulator/Bus & Bus Livability Grantees

⁷ Description taken from: <u>http://www.grants.gov/search/search.do?mode=VIEW&oppId=101593</u>

⁸ Description adapted from: <u>http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2009/pdf/E9-29245.pdf</u> and <u>http://www.fta.dot.gov/funding/grants_financing_7829.html</u>

EPA Smart Growth Implementation Assistance (SGIA)

The SGIA program is an annual, competitive solicitation open to state, local, regional, and tribal governments that want to incorporate smart growth techniques into future development. Selected communities receive direct technical assistance from a contracted team of national experts in one of two areas: policy analysis (e.g., reviewing state and local codes, school siting guidelines, transportation policies) or public participatory processes (e.g., visioning, design workshops, alternative analysis, build-out analysis).⁹ Instead of a direct grant, each SGIA recipient receives contractor assistance valued between \$80,000 and \$90,000. In 2010, three out of the seven recipients were located in the Northeast-Midwest region. Summaries of each award can be accessed through EPA's website <u>here</u>.

| U | |
|--|-------|
| Recipient | State |
| South Suburban Mayors and Managers Association | IL |
| Saginaw | MI |
| Rhode Island Housing | RI |
| # of NEMW Recipients | 3 |
| Total # of Recipients | 7 |
| NEMW % of National Total | 43% |
| | |

EPA Greening America's Capitals

The Greening America's Capitals program aims to help state capitals develop a plan for distinctive, environmentally friendly neighborhoods that incorporate innovative green building and green infrastructure strategies. EPA will fund a team of designers to visit each city to produce schematic designs and illustrations, as well as implementation strategies, intended to catalyze or complement a larger planning process for the pilot neighborhood.¹⁰ This program assists three to five communities per year. In 2010, two out of five selected cities were from the Northeast-Midwest region; no NEMW cities were chosen in 2011. Summaries of each award can be accessed through EPA's website <u>here</u>.

| Selected City | State |
|--------------------------|-------|
| Boston, Massachusetts | MA |
| Hartford, Connecticut | СТ |
| # of NEMW Recipients | 2 |
| Total # of Recipients | 5 |
| NEMW % of National Total | 40% |

Northeast-Midwest Region Greening America's Capitals Grantees

EPA Brownfields Pilot Communities

The Pilot Communities Program provides direct technical assistance from EPA to: plan for the eventual assessment, cleanup and sustainable redevelopment of brownfield sites and associated long term quality of life improvements; plan for area-wide brownfield redevelopment; and provide expert environmental and economic analysis. Other potential areas of technical assistance from EPA include: addressing community needs such as affordable housing creation and preservation; access to transit, air

⁹ Description taken from: <u>http://www.epa.gov/smartgrowth/sgia.htm</u>

¹⁰ Description taken from: <u>http://www.epa.gov/smartgrowth/greencapitals.htm</u>

and water quality improvements; access to fresh, local food; energy efficiency improvements; renewable energy strategies; and access to green space for recreation. HUD and DOT officials serve on the technical assistance teams in order to help identify how these pilots can complement and build on past and future investments, as well as identify opportunities to bring relevant resources from their agencies as the pilots progress.¹¹ Three out of the five projects selected in February of 2010 were from the NEMW region. Summaries of each award can be accessed through EPA's website <u>here</u>.

| Northeast-Midwest Region Brownfields Pilot Grantees | | | |
|---|--------------|-------|--|
| Project | City | State | |
| Riverfront Crossings District | lowa City | IA | |
| Smart Growth Redevelopment District | Indianapolis | IN | |
| Fairmount Line | Boston | MA | |
| # of NEMW Recipients | | 3 | |
| Total # of Recipients | | 5 | |
| NEMW % of National Total | | 60% | |

EPA Brownfields Area-Wide Planning Pilot Initiative

The purpose of this initiative is to facilitate community involvement in developing an area-wide plan for brownfields assessment, cleanup, and subsequent reuse. The pilot program will help further community-based partnership efforts within underserved or economically disadvantaged neighborhoods by confronting local environmental and public health challenges related to brownfields, while creating a planning framework to advance economic development and job creation. Each recipient receives up to approximately \$175,000 in grant funding and/or direct technical assistance from the Agency.¹² Summaries of each award (announced October 2010) can be accessed through EPA's website <u>here</u>.

| | | Estimated Grant |
|---|-------|--------------------|
| Recipient | State | &/or Contract Amt. |
| Goshen | IN | \$175,000 |
| Sanford | ME | \$175,000 |
| Lowell | MA | \$175,000 |
| Pioneer Valley Planning Commission, City of | | |
| Chicopee | MA | \$175,000 |
| Ironbound Community Corporation, Newark | NJ | \$175,000 |
| Ogdensburg | NY | \$175,000 |
| Cleveland | ОН | \$175,000 |
| Monaca | PA | \$175,000 |
| Estimated NEMW TOTAL | | \$1,400,000 |
| Estimated TOTAL | | \$4,025,000 |
| NEMW % OF TOTAL | | 35% |

Northeast-Midwest Region Brownfields Area-Wide Planning Pilot Grantees

¹¹ Description adapted from: <u>http://epa.gov/brownfields/sustain_plts/factsheets/scbpb_fs_web_final.pdf</u>

¹² Description adapted from: <u>http://epa.gov/brownfields/areawide_grants.htm</u>

Conclusion

Much of the national program activity coordinated by the Partnership for Sustainable Communities occurs in the Northeast-Midwest region. In considering Partnership program activity for which award amounts are available, Northeast-Midwest grantees received at least a third of the total. In some cases, grantees located in the NEMW region received nearly half of the national funds distributed. The total amount appropriated to NEMW regional projects for these programs so far is at least \$1 billion. If the programs also deliver their intended benefits to the recipient communities, their value to the region would go well beyond this dollar amount. However, any program-related benefits will need to be quantified and assessed on a grant-by-grant basis over time.

Prepared by Colleen Cain, PhD, Northeast-Midwest Institute (ccain@nemw.org)